

Workshop on Nonstationarity, Hydrologic Frequency Analysis, and Water Management

Boulder, Colorado, USA, 13-15 January 2010

Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty



Demetris Koutsoyiannis Department of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering Faculty of Civil Engineering National Technical University of Athens, Greece (dk@itia.ntua.gr, http://www.itia.ntua.gr/dk/)

Presentation available online: http://www.itia.ntua.gr/en/docinfo/944/

1. Problem motivating the study

The Athens water supply system



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 3

Back in 1990s – Some worries...



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 4

Back in 1990s – Additional worries...

Some understood that water might be needed for the Athens Olympic Games (then in preparation)





D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 5

2. Mottos motivating the presentation

Motto 1: From Science Magazine

POLICYFORUM

CLIMATE CHANGE

Stationarity Is Dead: Whither Water Management?

P. C. D. Milly,^{1*} Julio Betancourt,² Malin Falkenmark,³ Robert M. Hirsch,⁴ Zbigniew W. Kundzewicz,⁵ Dennis P. Lettenmaier,⁶ Ronald J. Stouffer⁷

ystems for management of water throughout the developed world have been designed and operated under the assumption of stationarity. Stationarity-the idea that natural systems fluctuate within an unchanging envelope of variability-is a foundational concept that permeates training and practice in water-resource engineering. It implies that any variable (e.g., annual streamflow or annual flood peak) has a time-invariant (or 1-year-periodic) probability density function (pdf), whose properties can be estimated from the instrument record. Under stationarity, pdf estimation errors are acknowledged, but have been assumed to be reducible by additional observations, more efficient estimators, or regional or paleohydrologic data. The pdfs, in turn, are used to evaluate and manage risks to water supplies, waterworks, and floodplains; annual global invest-



An uncertain future challenges water planners.

Climate change undermines a basic assumption that historically has facilitated management of water supplies, demands, and risks.

that has emerged from climate models (see figure, p. 574).

Why now? That anthropogenic climate change affects the water cycle (9) and water supply (10) is not a new finding. Nevertheless, sensible objections to discarding stationarity have been raised. For a time, hydroclimate had not demonstrably exited the envelope of natural variability and/or the effective range of optimally operated infrastructure (11, 12). Accounting for the substantial uncertainties of climatic parameters estimated from short records (13) effectively hedged against small climate changes. Additionally, climate projections were not considered credible (12, 14).

Recent developments have led us to the opinion that the time has come to move beyond the wait-and-see approach. Projections of runoff changes are bolstered by the recently demonstrated retrodictive skill of cli-

Motto 2: From a blog



SUNDAY, DECEMBER 06, 2009

Clark Hoyt, the NYT "public" editor, thinks the NYT has handled the Climategate story "appropriately."

I understand why the Times preferred to link to the database on somebody else's site instead of hosting it: They're afraid of being sued for copyright infringement (though I think if it were anti-war material they'd take the risk and argue fair use). But I can't accept the core of Hoyt's defense of his employer:

12/7/09 12:21 AM

🕒 John Stodder said...

One thing I noticed.....you guys NEVER dispute the validity of the "little graph" where it plots the slight cooling years. Any source then is sacred!

What a dumb point!

In fact, if you read what I posted earlier about "hide the decline," the fact I am embracing is that temperatures DID rise from 1961 onward. The decline is the decline in temperatures the tree-ring data from that year forward showed was ABOUT ME



VIEW MY COMPLETE PROFILE

"Hydrologists'

work is used by engineers to plan large-scale projects designed to last many decades. They can't play with models, especially models that so plainly diverge from reality."

John Stodder in http://althouse.blogspot.c om/2009/12/clark-hoytnyt-public-editor-thinksnyt.html

D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 8

Motto 3: From classical sources



«Αρχή σοφίας, ονομάτων επίσκεψις» (Αντισθένης) **``The start of wisdom is the** visit (study) of names"
(Antisthenes)

Antisthenes (c. 445-c. 365 BC), pupil of Socrates, founder of Cynic philosophy; image from wikipedia

3. Visiting names: stationarity and nonstationarity

Finding invariant properties is essential in science

 Newton's first law: Position changes but velocity is constant (in absence of an external force)

u = dx/dt = ct

A huge departure from the Aristotelian view that bodies tend to rest

 Newton's second law: On presence of a constant force, the velocity changes but the acceleration is constant

 $\Box \quad a = du/dt = F/m = ct$

- For the weight W of a body a = g = W/m = ct
- Newton's law of gravitation: The weight *W* (the attractive force exerted by a mass *M*) is not constant but inversely proportional to the square of distance; thus other **constants** emerge, i.e.,

$$a r^2 = -GM = ct$$

• $(d\theta/dt) r^2 = ct$ (angular momentum per unit mass; $\theta = angle$)

The stationarity concept: Seeking invariant properties in complex systems

- Complex natural systems are impossible to describe in full detail and predict their future evolution in detail and with precision
- The great scientific achievement is the materialization of macroscopic descriptions that need not model the details
- Essentially this is done using probability theory (laws of large numbers, central limit theorem, principle of maximum entropy)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 12

What is stationarity and nonstationarity?

Stationary Processes

A stochastic process $\mathbf{x}(t)$ is called *strict-sense stationary* (abbreviated SSS) if its statistical properties are invariant to a shift of the origin. This means that the processes $\mathbf{x}(t)$ and $\mathbf{x}(t + c)$ have the same statistics for any c.

WIDE SENSE. A stochastic process x(t) is called *wide-sense stationary* (abbreviated WSS) if its mean is constant

$$E\{\mathbf{x}(t)\} = \eta \tag{10-41}$$

and its autocorrelation depends only on $\tau = t_1 - t_2$:

$$E\{\mathbf{x}(t+\tau)\mathbf{x}^*(t)\} = R(\tau)$$
(10-42)

- Definitions copied from Papoulis (1991).
- Note 1: Definition of stationarity applies to a stochastic process
- Note 2: Processes that are not stationary are called nonstationarity; some of their statistical properties are deterministic functions of time

Some notes about stationarity and nonstationarity

dead



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 14

Does this example say that "stationarity is dead"?



Mean *m* (red line) of time series (blue line) is: m = 1.8 for i < 70m = 3.5 for $i \ge 70$

Reformulation of question: Does the red line reflect a **deterministic** function?



If the red line is a deterministic function of time: → nonstationarity

If the red line is a random function (realization of a stationary stochastic process) → stationarity

Answer of the last question: the red line is a realization of a stochastic process



- The time series was constructed by superposition of
 - A stochastic process with values $\underline{m}_j \sim N(2, 0.5)$ each lasting a period \underline{r}_j exponentially distributed with $E[\underline{r}_j] = 50$ (red line);
 - □ White noise *N*(0, 0.2).
- Nothing in the model is nonstationary
- The process of our example is stationary

Time, i

The big difference of **nonstationarity** and stationarity (1)

The initial

time series

A mental copy generated by a **nonstationary** model (assuming the red line is a deterministic function)

Unexplained variance (differences between blue and red line): 0.2^2 = 0.04



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 18

The big difference of nonstationarity and **stationarity** (2)

The initial

time series

A mental copy generated by a **stationary** model (assuming the red line is a stationary stochastic process)

Unexplained variance (the "undecomposed" time series): 0.38 (~10 times greater)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 19

Caution in using the term "nonstationarity"

- **Stationary** is not synonymous to **static**
- Nonstationary is not synonymous to changing
- In a nonstationary process the change is described by a deterministic function
- A deterministic description should be constructed by deduction (the Aristoteleian **apodeixis**), not by induction (direct use of data)
- To claim nonstationarity, we must :
 - 1. Establish a causative relationship
 - 2. Construct a quantitative model describing the change as a deterministic function of time
 - 3. Ensure applicability of the deterministic model in future time
- Nonstationarity reduces uncertainty!!! (because it explains part of variability)
- Unjustified/inappropriate claim of nonstationarity results in underestimation of variability, uncertainty and risk!!!

Do climate models enable a nonstationary approach?

- Do general circulation models (GCMs) provide credible deterministic predictions of the future climate evolution?
- Do GCMs provide good predictions, at least for temperature (and somewhat less good for precipitation)?
- Do GCMs provide good predictions at least for global and continental scales (and, after downscaling, for local scales)?
- Do GCMs provide good predictions for the distant future (albeit less good for the nearer future, e.g. for the next 10-20 years—or for the next season or year)?
- Is climate predicable in deterministic terms?

A related Aesop's fable: The Braggart

Аυτοῦ γἀρ καὶ ἘΡόδος καὶ πἡδημα Hic Rhodus, hic saltus! Rhodes is right here: make the jump.

39 [51]

Άνὴρ κομπαστής

Άνήρ πένταθλος ἐπὶ ἀνανδρία ἑκάστοτε ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτῶν ὀνειδιζόμενος, ἀποδημήσας ποτὲ καὶ μετὰ χρόνον ἐπανελθών, ἀλαζονευόμενος ἔλεγεν ὡς πολλὰ καὶ ἐν ἄλλαις πόλεσιν ἀνδραγαθήσας, ἐν τῷ Ρόδῷ τοιοῦτον ἥλατο πήδημα ὡς μηδένα τῶν Ὁλυμπιονικῶν ἐφικέσθαι· καὶ τούτου μάρτυρας ἔφασκε παρέξεσθαι τοὺς παρατετυχηκότας, ἂν ἄρα ποτὲ ἐπιδημήσωσι. Τῶν δὲ παρόντων τις ὑποτυχὼν ἔφη πρὸς αὐτόν· «Ἀλλ', ὦ οὖτος, εἰ τοῦτο ἀληθές ἐστι, οὐδὲν δεῖ σοι μαρτύρων· αὐτοῦ γὰρ καὶ Ῥόδος καὶ πήδημα.»

Ο λόγος δηλοϊ ὅτι ὦν πρόχειρος ἡ δι' ἔργων πεῖρα, περὶ τούτων πᾶς λόγος περιττός ἐστι. A man who practised the pentathlon, but whom his fellow-citizens continually reproached for his unmanliness, went off one day to foreign parts. After some time he returned, and he went around boasting of having accomplished many extraordinary feats in various countries, but above all of having made such a jump when he was in Rhodes that not even an athlete crowned at the Olympic Games could possibly equal it. And he added that he would produce as witnesses of his exploit people who had actually seen it, if ever they came to his country. Then one of the bystanders spoke out: 'But if this is true, my friend, you have no need of witnesses: Rhodes is right here - make the jump.'

The fable shows that as long as one can prove something by doing, speculation is superfluous.

Aesop (Aı̈σωπος; 620-560 BC), A slave renowned for his fables

English translation adapted from Temple et al., 1998.

"Hic Rhodus" (i.e. 20th century), "hic saltus" (i.e. skill to reproduce reality)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 23

4. Change under stationarity and the Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics

Change is tightly linked to dependence and long-term change to long-range dependence



- Lag
- The typical autocorrelogram (autocorrelation vs. lag) is meaningful only for stationary processes
- Here the autocorrelogram suggests long-range dependence (to be contrasted with Markovian, short-range dependence)
- This dependence should not be interpreted as "long memory"; it is a result of "long-term change"
- This has been first pointed out by Klemes (1974)

Change and frequency: The power spectrum

- The power spectrum is the inverse finite Fourier transform of the autocorrelogram
- Again it is meaningful only for stationary processes
- The large values of spectral density for small frequencies (large periods or scale lengths) indicates dominance of the long-term variability



 The slope in a double logarithmic plot (here ~-1) is an indicator of the long-range dependence (or long-term persistence)—but its estimation is not accurate due to rough shape

Change and scale: The climacogram

This is simply a plot of standard deviation $\sigma^{(k)}$ at scale k vs. scale k; $\sigma^{(k)}$ can be calculated directly from the time averaged process

$$\underline{x}_{i}^{(k)} \coloneqq \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=(i-1)k}^{ik} \underline{x}_{l}$$

It is a transformation of the autocorrelogram ρ_j (where j is lag), i.e.,

$$\sigma^{(k)} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{k}} \sqrt{\alpha_k} , \qquad \alpha_k = 1 + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{k}\right) \rho_j \iff \rho_j = \frac{j+1}{2} \alpha_{j+1} - j\alpha_j + \frac{j-1}{2} \alpha_{j-1}$$

- The asymptotic slope (high k) in a logarithmic plot is a characteristic defining the so-called Hurst coefficient: H = 1 + slope
- H values in the interval (0.5, 1) indicate longrange dependence



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 27

The Hurst-Kolmogorov (HK) process and its multiscale stochastic properties

- Example 1 admits (irregular) fluctuations at two characteristic time scales: $k_1 = 1$ and $k_2 = E[\underline{r}_j] = 50$
- Assuming additional scales of fluctuation, k₃, k₄ ... (although practically, three time scales of fluctuation suffice—Koutsoyiannis, 2002), we may construct a Hurst-Kolmogorov process, which has very simple properties

Properties of the HK process	At an arbitrary observation scale k = 1 (e.g. annual)	At any scale <i>k</i>	
Standard deviation	$\sigma \equiv \sigma^{(1)}$	$\sigma^{(k)} = k^{H-1} \sigma$ (can serve as a definition of the HK process; <i>H</i> is the Hurst coefficient; 0.5 < <i>H</i> < 1)	All equations are power laws of scale <i>k</i> , lag <i>j</i> , frequency ω
Autocorrelation function (for lag j)	$\rho_j \equiv \rho_j^{(1)} = \rho_j^{(k)}$	$\approx H(2 H-1) j ^{2H-2}$	
Power spectrum (for frequency ω)	$\begin{aligned} s(\omega) &\equiv s^{(1)}(\omega) \approx \\ 4 (1 - H) \sigma^2 (2 \omega)^{1 - 2 H} \end{aligned}$	$s^{(k)}(\omega) \approx 4(1 - H) \sigma^2 k^{2H-2} (2 \omega)^{1-2H}$	

Fluctuations at multiple temporal or spatial scales are common in Nature

- Example 2: turbulence in a hydraulic jump
- The energy associated with each scale increases with scale length (e.g. without the macroturbulence of the hydraulic jump, the energy loss due to molecular motion and microturbulence would be much lower)



A historical note: Hurst & Kolmogorov

The recognition that real world processes behave differently from an ideal roulette wheel (where the differences mainly rely on long excursions of local averages from the global mean) is due to Hurst and Kolmogorov (see Koutsoyiannis and Cohn, 2008)

Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Académie des Sciences de l'URSS 1940. Volume XXVI, № 2

MATHEMATIK

WIENERSCHE SPIRALEN UND EINIGE ANDERE INTERESSANTE KURVEN IM HILBERTSCHEN RAUM

Von A. N. KOLMOGOROFF, Mitglied der Akademie

Wir werden hier einige Sonderfälle von Kurven betrachten, denen meine vorhergehende Note «Kurven im Hilbertschen Raum, die gegenüber einer einparametrigen Gruppe von Bewegungen invariant sind» (*) gewidmet ist.

Unter einer Ähnlichkeitstransformation im Hilberterk Baum H werden wir eine beliebige

 $\neq x$ der Punkte, die auf derselben

115

Satz 6. Die Funktion $B_{\xi}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$, die der Funktion $\xi(t)$ der Klasse \mathfrak{A} entspricht, kann in der Form

 $B_{\xi}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1},\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\right)=c\left[\mid\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}\mid^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}+\mid\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\mid^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}-\mid\boldsymbol{\tau}_{1}-\boldsymbol{\tau}_{2}\mid^{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}\right]$

	AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEER
	Founded November 5, 1852
	TRANSACTIONS
	Dense No. 2447
	Paper No. 2447
	LONG-TERM STORAGE CAPACITY OF RESERVOIRS
	BY H. E. HURST ¹
	WITH DISCUSSION BY VEN TE CHOW, HENRI MILLERET, LOUIS M. LAUSHE AND H. E. HURST.
	Synopsis
	A solution of the problem of determining the reservoir storage required on given stream, to guarantee a given draft, is presented in this paper. For e
1	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is avai able, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained b
	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is avai able, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained b
	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is avai able, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained b furst (1951) studied numerous geophysical
l ti	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is avai able, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained b lurst (1951) studied numerous geophysical me series and observed that: "Although in
l- ti	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is avai able, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained be lurst (1951) studied numerous geophysical me series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values
H ti n	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to lurst (1951) studied numerous geophysical me series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values to occur, their tendency to occur in natural
H ti n G	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to lurst (1951) studied numerous geophysical me series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values to occur, their tendency to occur in natural events is greater. This is the main difference
H ti n e b	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained by a long-time series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values to occur, their tendency to occur in natural events is greater. This is the main difference between natural and random events."
⊢ ti n e b	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained by a long-time series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values do occur, their tendency to occur in natural events is greater. This is the main difference between natural and random events."
⊢ ti c £	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained by a long-time series and observed that: "Although in andom events groups of high or low values do occur, their tendency to occur in natural events is greater. This is the main difference between natural and random events."
⊢ ti a b K	ample, if a long-time record of annual total discharges from the stream is available, the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the average flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the storage required to yield the storage to the storage required to yield the storage flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the storage flow, each year, is obtained to the storage required to yield the storage flow, each year, is obtained to the storage flow, each year, is obtained flow, each year, is obtained to the storage flow, each year, is obtained flow, each year, is o

Example 3: Annual minimum water levels of the Nile



The longest available instrumental hydroclimatic data set (813 ýears).

10

8

6

2

0

600

Nater level (m)

- Hurst coefficient H = 0.84.
- The same *H* is estimated from the simultaneous record of maximum water levels and from the modern record (131 years) of the Nile flows at Aswan.



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 31

Real-world processes vs. simplified random

processes





Example 3:

A "roulette" process



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 32

"Year"

Example 4: The lower tropospheric temperature

Suggests an HK behaviour with a very high Hurst coefficient: H = 0.99



0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0

Monthly

12-month running average

-3-year running average

All data average

Temperature (oC)

D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 33

Impacts to statistical estimation: Hurst-Kolmogorov statistics (HKS) vs. classical statistics (CS)

True values \rightarrow	Mean, μ	Standard deviation, σ	Autocorrelation ρ_l for lag /		
Standard estimator	$\overline{\underline{x}} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \underline{x}_{i}$	$\underline{s} := \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\underline{x}_i - \overline{\underline{x}})^2}$	$r_{i} := \frac{1}{(n-1)\underline{s}^{2}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n-i} (\underline{x}_{i} - \overline{\underline{x}}) (\underline{x}_{i+i} - \overline{\underline{x}})$		
Relative bias of estimation, CS 0		≈ 0	≈ 0		
Relative bias of estimation, HKS	0	$\approx \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{n'}} - 1 \approx -\frac{1}{2n'} \qquad (-22\%)$	$\approx -\frac{1/\rho_l - 1}{n' - 1}$ (-79%)		
Standard deviation of estimator, CS	$\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$ (0.1)	$\approx \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}$ (0.071)			
Standard deviation of estimator, HKS $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n'}}$ (0.63)		$\approx \frac{\sigma \sqrt{(0.1 \ n + 0.8)^{\lambda(H)}(1 - n^{2H-2})}}{\sqrt{2(n-1)}}$ where $\lambda(H) := 0.088 \ (4H^2 - 1)^2 \ (0.093)$			
Note: $n' := n^{2-2H}$ is the "equivalent" or "effective" sample size: a sample with size n' in CS results in the same uncertainty of the mean as a sample with size n in HKS.					

The numbers in parentheses are numerical examples for n = 100, $\sigma = 1$, H = 0.90 and I = 10, so that n' = 2.5.



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 35

Example 6: The Greenland temperature proxy during the Holocene



ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/icecore/greenland/summit/gisp2/isotopes/gisp2_temp_accum_alley2000.txt

D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 36

Example 6 (cont.): The Greenland temperature proxy on multi-millennial time scales



Reconstructed from the GISP2 Ice Core (Alley, 2000, 2004). Data from: ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/icecore/greenland/summit/gisp2/isotopes/gisp2_temp_accum_alley2000.txt

D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 37

Example 6 (cont.): The Greenland temperature proxy on all scales

All three periods suggest an HK behaviour with a very high Hurst coefficient

Here an $H \approx 0.94$ was used for all three periods, assuming different standard deviation in each one

Reproduced from Koutsoyiannis *et al.* (2009)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 38

5. Implications in engineering design and water resources management

Example 7: Back in the Athens water supply system



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 40

Classical statistics: Return period of the persistent drought

- At the annual scale, the drought was a record minimum but with typical magnitude
- Aggregated at larger scales, it appeared something extraordinary
- Similar behaviour was observed for maxima et aggregate scales



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 41

Comparisons with even longer series

The complete historical time series of Boeoticos Kephisos runoff

A part of the Nilometer series (the minimum annual water level in the Nile River, in cm) A similar «trend»

The complete Nilometer series
Upward and downward

fluctuations on all scales



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 42

Hurst-Kolmogorov modelling of the Boeoticos Kephisos hydrological processes



Suggests an HK behaviour with Hurst coefficient H = 0.79in runoff (also H > 0.5 in temperature and precipitation)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 43

Back to return period of the persistent drought

- The persistent drought is not extraordinary; it is a natural and expected behaviour
- Also, the trend is a natural and usual behaviour (Another
 "naturally trendy" process)





D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 45

Methodology implementation in the Athens water supply system

Castalia: Multivariate stochastic simulator for generalized HK processes

See theoretical and practical justification of the approach in Koutsoyiannis (2000, 2001) and Koutsoyiannis and Efstratiadis (2001)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 46

Methodology implementation in the Athens water supply system (2)

Hydronomeas: A decision support system implementing a methodology termed parameterizationsimulationoptimization

See theoretical and practical justification of the approach in Koutsoyiannis and Economou (2003); Koutsoyiannis *et al.* (2002, 2003); and Efstratiadis *et al.* (2004)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 47

Alternative approach 1: Nonstationary, trend based

- The flows would disappear at about 2050...
- The trend reduces uncertainty (because it "explains" part of variability): The initial standard deviation of 70 mm decreases to 55 mm
- In contrast, in the HK approach the standard deviation increases to 75 mm



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 48

Alternative approach 2: Nonstationary, GCM based

- Outputs from three GCMs for two scenarios were used
- The original GCM outputs (not shown) had no relation to reality (highly negative efficiencies at the annual time scale and above)
- After adaptations (also known as "downscaling") the GCM outputs improved with respect to reality (to about zero efficiencies at the annual time scale)
- For the past, despite adaptations, the proximity of models with reality is not satisfactory
- For **the future** the runoff obtained by adapted GCM outputs is too stable



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 49





D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 50

HK and extremes: Distribution tails

Value, x

Assumptions:

- 1. Probability density function of x_i (gamma—exponential tail): $f(x|\alpha_i) = \alpha_i^{\theta} x^{\theta-1} e^{-\alpha_i x} / \Gamma(\theta)$
- 2. The scale parameter a_i changes in time (e.g. due to overdecadal climatic fluctuation) with probability density function (gamma):

 $g(a_i) = \beta^{\tau} a_i^{\tau-1} e^{-\beta a_i} / \Gamma(\tau)$

Result:

Unconditional density function of x:

$$f(x) = [1/\beta B(\theta + \tau)] (\gamma/\beta)^{\theta - 1}/(1 + \gamma/\beta)^{\tau + \theta}$$

$$F(x) = [B_{x/(x + \beta)}(\theta, \tau) / B(\theta, \tau)]^{n}$$

(Beta distribution of the second kind power tail)

Conclusion:

Exponential distribution tails may become power type (Koutsoyiannis, 2004)



D. Koutsoyiannis, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics and uncertainty 51

6. Final remarks

Advantages (or disadvantages?) of the "new" HK approach

- HK is old-fashioned—not "trendy" (despite admitting that natural processes are "naturally trendy"...)
 - □ Is as old as Kolmogorov (1940) and Hurst (1951)
 - Involves nothing like "artificial intelligence", "neural networks", "fuzzy logic", "chaotic attractors", "global circulation models", etc.
- HK is stationary—not nonstationary
 - Demonstrates how stationarity can coexist with change at all time scales
- HK is linear—not nonlinear
 - Deterministic dynamics need to be nonlinear to produce realistic trajectories—stochastic dynamics need not
- HK is simple, parsimonious, and inexpensive —not complicated, inflationary and expensive
- HK is honest—not deceitful
 - Does not hide uncertainty
 - Does not pretend to predict the distant future

Concluding remarks

- Change is Nature's style
- Change occurs at all time scales
- Change is not nonstationarity
- Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics is the key to perceive multiscale change and model the implied uncertainty and risk
- In general, the Hurst-Kolmogorov approach can incorporate deterministic descriptions of future changes, if available
- In absence of credible predictions of the future, Hurst-Kolmogorov dynamics admits stationarity

Long live stationarity!!!

References

- Alley, R.B, The Younger Dryas cold interval as viewed from central Greenland, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 19, 213-226. 2000.
- Alley, R.B., GISP2 Ice Core Temperature and Accumulation Data, IGBP PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology Data Contribution Series #2004-013, NOAA/NGDC Paleoclimatology Program, Boulder CO, USA, 2004.
- Anagnostopoulos, G., D. Koutsoyiannis, A. Efstratiadis, A. Christofides and N. Mamassis, Credibility of climate predictions revisited, European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2009, *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, Vol. 11, Vienna, 611, European Geosciences Union, 2009.
- Blöschl, G., and A. Montanari, Climate change impacts throwing the dice?, *Hydrological Processes*, DOI:10.1002/hyp.7574, 2010 (in press).
- Chanson, H., Hydraulic jumps: Bubbles and bores, 16th Australasian Fluid Mechanics Conference, Crown Plaza, Gold Coast, Australia, 2007 (http://espace.library.uq.edu.au/eserv/UQ:120303/afmc07_k.pdf).
- Efstratiadis, A., D. Koutsoyiannis, and D. Xenos, Minimising water cost in the water resource management of Athens, Urban Water Journal, 1 (1), 3–15, 2004.
- Hurst, H.E., Long term storage capacities of reservoirs, *Trans. Am. Soc. Civil Engrs.*, 116, 776–808, 1951.
- Klemes, V., The Hurst phenomenon: A puzzle?, Water Resour. Res., 10(4) 675-688, 1974.
- Kolmogorov, A. N., Wienersche Spiralen und einige andere interessante Kurven in Hilbertschen Raum, Dokl. Akad. Nauk URSS, 26, 115–118, 1940.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., A generalized mathematical framework for stochastic simulation and forecast of hydrologic time series, *Water Resources Research*, 36 (6), 1519–1533, 2000.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., Coupling stochastic models of different time scales, *Water Resources Research*, 37 (2), 379–392, 2001.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., The Hurst phenomenon and fractional Gaussian noise made easy, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 47 (4), 573–595, 2002.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., Statistics of extremes and estimation of extreme rainfall, 1, Theoretical investigation, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 49(4), 575-590, 2004.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and T.A. Cohn, The Hurst phenomenon and climate (solicited), *European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2008, Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 10*, Vienna, 11804, European Geosciences Union, 2008.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and A. Economou, Evaluation of the parameterization-simulation-optimization approach for the control of reservoir systems, Water Resources Research, 39 (6), 1170, doi:10.1029/2003WR002148, 2003.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., and A. Efstratiadis, A stochastic hydrology framework for the management of multiple reservoir systems, 26th General Assembly of the European Geophysical Society, Geophysical Research Abstracts, Vol. 3, Nice, European Geophysical Society, 2001 (http://www.itia.ntua.gr/en/docinfo/54/).
- Koutsoyiannis, D., A. Efstratiadis, and G. Karavokiros, A decision support tool for the management of multi-reservoir systems, *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 38 (4), 945–958, 2002.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., G. Karavokiros, A. Efstratiadis, N. Mamassis, A. Koukouvinos, and A. Christofides, A decision support system for the management of the water resource system of Athens, *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 28 (14-15), 599–609, 2003.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., A. Efstratiadis, and K. Georgakakos, Uncertainty assessment of future hydroclimatic predictions: A comparison of probabilistic and scenario-based approaches, *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 8 (3), 261–281, 2007.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., A. Efstratiadis, N. Mamassis, and A. Christofides, On the credibility of climate predictions, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 53 (4), 671–684, 2008.
- Koutsoyiannis, D., A. Montanari, H. F. Lins, and T.A. Cohn, Climate, hydrology and freshwater: towards an interactive incorporation of hydrological experience into climate research—DISCUSSION of "The implications of projected climate change for freshwater resources and their management", *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 54 (2), 394–405, 2009.
- Papoulis, A., *Probability, Random Variables, and Stochastic Processes*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991.
- Temple, O., R. K. G. Temple, & R. Temple, *The complete fables by Aesop*, Penguin Classics, New York, 1998.